

EXHIBIT 1

REESE LLP

Reese LLP represents consumers in a wide array of class action litigation throughout the nation. The attorneys of Reese LLP are skilled litigators with years of experience in federal and state courts. Reese LLP is based in New York, New York with offices also in California and Minnesota.

Recent and current cases litigated by the attorneys of Reese LLP on behalf of consumers include the following:

Hasemann v. Gerber Products Co., case no. 15-cv-02995-MKB-RER (E.D.N.Y.)(case involving misrepresentation of health benefits of baby formula in violation of New York consumer protection laws); *Worth v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.*, case no. 16-cv-00498 (E.D.N.Y.); (E.D.N.Y.)(class action for alleged misrepresentations regarding health benefits of dietary supplement); *Roper v. Big Heart Pet Brands, Inc.*, case no. 19-cv-00406-DAD (E.D. Cal.)(class action regarding pet food); *Ackerman v. The Coca-Cola Co.*, 09-CV-0395 (JG) (RML) (E.D.N.Y.)(class action for violation of California and New York's consumer protection laws pertaining to health beverages); *Rapaport-Hecht v. Seventh Generation, Inc.*, 14-cv-9087-KMK (S.D.N.Y.)(class action for violation of California and New York's consumer protection laws pertaining to personal care products); *Berkson v. GoGo, LLC*, 14-cv-1199-JWB-LW (E.D.N.Y.)(class action regarding improper automatic renewal clauses); *Chin v. RCN Corporation*, 08-cv-7349 RJS (S.D.N.Y.)(class action for violation of Virginia's consumer protection law by I.S.P. throttling consumers' use of internet); *Bodoin v. Impeccable L.L.C.*, Index. No. 601801/08 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)(individual action for conspiracy and fraud); *Huyer v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, 08-CV-507 (S.D. Iowa)(class action for violation of the RICO Act pertaining to mortgage related fees); *Murphy v. DirecTV, Inc.*, 07-CV-06545 FMC (C.D. Cal.)(class action for violation of California's consumer protection laws); *Bain v. Silver Point Capital Partnership LLP*, Index No. 114284/06 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)(individual action for breach of contract and fraud); *Siemers v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, C-05-4518 WHA (N.D. Cal.)(class action for violation of § 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 pertaining to improper mutual fund fees); *Dover Capital Ltd. v. Galvex Estonia OU*, Index No. 113485/06 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.)(individual action for breach of contract involving an Eastern European steel company); *All-Star Carts and Vehicles Inc. v. BFI Canada Income Fund*, 08-CV-1816 LDW (E.D.N.Y.)(class action for violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act pertaining to waste hauling services for small businesses on Long Island); *Petlack v. S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.*, 08-CV-00820 CNC (E.D. Wisconsin)(class action for violation of Wisconsin consumer protection law pertaining to environmental benefits of household cleaning products); *Wong v. Alacer Corp.*, (San Francisco Superior Court)(class action for violation of California's consumer protection laws pertaining to deceptive representations regarding health benefits of dietary supplement's ability to improve immune system); *Howerton v. Cargill, Inc.* (D. Hawaii)(class action for violation of various consumer protection laws regarding sugar substitute); *Yoo v. Wendy's International, Inc.*, 07-CV-04515 FMC (C.D. Cal.)(class action for violation of California's consumer protection laws pertaining to adverse health effects of partially hydrogenated oils in popular food products).

The Attorneys of Reese LLPMichael R. Reese

Mr. Reese is the founding partner of Reese LLP where he litigates consumer protection and antitrust cases as class actions and on behalf of individual clients. Prior to entering private practice in 2000, Mr. Reese served as an assistant district attorney at the Manhattan District Attorney's Office where he served as a trial attorney prosecuting violent and white-collar crime.

Achievements by Mr. Reese on behalf of consumers span a wide array of actions. For example, in *Yoo v. Wendy's International Inc.*, Mr. Reese was appointed class counsel by the court and commended on achieving a settlement that eliminated trans-fat from a popular food source. *See Yoo v. Wendy's Int'l Inc.*, No. 07-CV-04515-FMC (JCx) (C.D. Cal. 2007) (stating that counsel "**has conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy**"). In *Chin v. RCN Corporation*, Mr. Reese was appointed class counsel and commended by the court for stopping RCN's practice of throttling its Internet customers through adverse network management practices. *See Chin v. RCN Corp.*, No. 08-CV-7349(RJS)(KNF), 2010 WL 3958794, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 96302 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 8, 2010) (stating that "**class counsel is qualified, experienced, and able to conduct the litigation**").

Victories by Mr. Reese and his firm include a \$6.1 million class action settlement in *Howerton v. Cargill, Inc.* (D. Hawaii) for consumers of Truvia branded sweetener; a \$6.4 million class action settlement in the matter of *Wong v. Alacer Corp.* (S.F. Superior Court) for consumers of Emergen-C branded dietary supplement; and, a \$25 million dollar settlement for mortgagees in *Huyer v. Wells Fargo & Co.* (S.D. Iowa).

Mr. Reese and his firm are frequently appointed as co-lead counsel in food related multi-district litigations, including, but not limited to: *In re Vitaminwater Sales and Marketing Practices Litigation*, case no. 11-md-2215-DLI-RML (E.D.N.Y.); *In re Frito-Lay N.A. "All-Natural" Sales & Marketing Litigation*, case no. 12-md-02413-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.); and, *In re Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc. Dog Food Products Liability Litig.*, case no. 19-md-2887-JAR-TT (D. Kansas).

Mr. Reese is a frequent lecturer and author on issues of class actions and food law. Mr. Reese co-hosts an annual two day food law conference with Professor Michael Roberts of UCLA; presents at the annual conference of the Consumer Brands Association (formerly known as the Grocery Manufacturers' Association); presented at Union Internationale des Advocats Annual Congress in Porto, Portugal. Recent articles on food law and class actions appear in publications by the American Bar Association and the Union Internationale des Advocats.

Mr. Reese is also the chairperson of the Cambridge Forum Conference on Food Fraud and is also an executive committee member of the Plaintiffs' Class Action Roundtable, where he lectures on an annual basis on issues related to class actions.

Mr. Reese is also an adjunct professor at Brooklyn Law School where he teaches on class actions as well as food law.

Mr. Reese also is on the advisory boards for the University of California, Los Angeles School of Law Resnick Center for Food Law and Policy and Wellness in the Schools in New York, New York.

Mr. Reese is a member of the state bars of New York and California as well as numerous federal district and appellate courts. Mr. Reese received his juris doctorate from the University of Virginia in 1996 and his bachelor's degree from New College in 1993.

Sue J. Nam

Ms. Nam is based in New York where she focuses on consumer class actions. Ms. Nam also runs the appellate practice at the firm and has represented clients before the Second and Ninth Circuits, as well as The Court of Appeals in New York. Ms. Nam also specialized in copyright law and represents photographers and other visual artists who have had their copyright protected works infringed.

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Nam was the General Counsel for NexCen Brands, Inc., a publicly traded company that owned a portfolio of consumer brands in food, fashion and homeware.

Previously, Ms. Nam was Intellectual Property Counsel and Assistant Corporate Secretary at Prudential Financial, Inc., and she was an associate specializing in intellectual property and litigation at the law firms of Brobeck Phleger & Harrison LLP in San Francisco, California and Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP in New York, New York.

Ms. Nam clerked for the Second Circuit prior to joining private practice.

Ms. Nam received her juris doctorate from Yale Law School in 1994. She received a bachelor's degree with distinction from Northwestern University in 1991.

Carlos F. Ramirez

Mr. Ramirez is an accomplished trial attorney based in New York, where he focuses his practice on the litigation of consumer class actions. Prior to entering private practice in 2001, Mr. Ramirez served as an Assistant District Attorney at the Manhattan District Attorney's Office where he served as a trial attorney prosecuting both violent and white-collar crimes.

Previous and current consumer fraud class actions litigated by Mr. Ramirez include *Hasemann v. Gerber Products Co.*, case no. 15-cv-02995-MKB-RER (E.D.N.Y.)(case involving misrepresentation of health benefits of baby formula in violation of New York consumer protection laws); *Coe v. General Mills, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-5112-TEH (N.D. Cal.) (involving false advertisement claims relating to the Cheerios Protein breakfast cereal); *In re Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation*, 16-md-2695-JB/LF (D.N.M.)(involving the deceptive marketing of cigarettes as "natural" and "additive free"); *Lamar v. The Coca-Cola Company, et al.*, No. 17-CA-4801 (D.C. Superior Ct.) (involving the deceptive marketing of sugar drinks as safe for health); and

Mr. Ramirez is a member of the state bars of New York and New Jersey. He is also a member of the bars of the U.S. District Courts for the Eastern District of New York and Southern District of New York. Mr. Ramirez received his juris doctorate from the Fordham University School of Law in 1997 and his bachelor's degree from CUNY-Joh Jay College in 1994.

George V. Granade II

Mr. Granade is a partner at Reese LLP based in Los Angeles, California, where he focuses on consumer class actions. Cases Mr. Granade has worked on include:

- *Barron v. Snyder's-Lance, Inc.*, No. 0:13-cv-62496-JAL (S.D. Fla.) (involving “Snyder's,” “Cape Cod,” “EatSmart,” and “Padrinos” brand food products labeled as “natural” and allegedly containing genetically-modified organisms and other synthetic ingredients);
- *In re: Frito-Lay North America, Inc. “All Natural” Litigation*, No. 1:12-md-02413-RRM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.) (involving “SunChips,” “Tostitos,” and “Bean Dip” products labeled as “natural” and allegedly containing genetically-modified organisms); and
- *Martin v. Cargill, Inc.*, No. 0:13-cv-02563-RHK-JJG (D. Minn.) (involving “Truvia” sweetener product labeled as “natural” and allegedly containing highly processed ingredients).

Mr. Granade received his juris doctorate from New York University School of Law in 2011. He received a master's degree from the University of Georgia at Athens in 2005 with distinction and a bachelor's degree from the University of Georgia at Athens in 2003, *magna cum laude* and with High Honors.

Mr. Granade is a member of the state bars of Georgia, New York, and California. He is also a member of the bar of the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second Circuit and Ninth Circuit, as well as the bars of the U.S. District Courts for the Eastern District of New York, Southern District of New York, Western District of New York, Southern District of Illinois, Northern District of Illinois, Northern District of California, Southern District of California, Central District of California, and Eastern District of California.

Charles D. Moore

Mr. Moore is based in Minneapolis, Minnesota where he focuses on both consumer as well as employment class actions.

Mr. Moore has worked on a number of high profile class actions at Reese LLP as well as his prior firm where he worked as co-counsel with Reese LLP on numerous matters. His notable cases include *Marino v. Coach, Inc.*, Case No. 1:16-cv-01122-VEC (OTW) (Lead) (S.D.N.Y.) (involving deceptive reference pricing in the sale of outlet merchandise); *Raporport-Hecht v. Seventh Generation, Inc.*, Case No. 7:14-cv-09087-KMK (S.D.N.Y.) (involving the deceptive advertising of household products as “natural”); *Gay v. Tom's of Maine, Inc.*, Case No. 0:14-cv-60604-KMM (S.D. Fla.) (involving deceptive advertising of personal care products as “natural”); *Frohberg v. Cumberland Packing Corp.*, Case No. 1:14-cv-00748-KAM-RLM (E.D.N.Y.) (involving deceptive advertising of food products as “natural”); *Baharenstan v. Venus Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a Earth Friendly Products, Inc.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-03578-EDL (N.D. Cal.) (involving deceptive advertising of household products as “natural”); *Sienkaniec v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. 17-cv-04489-PJS-FLN (D. Minn.) (involving the misclassification of Uber drivers as independent contractors); *Dang v. Samsung Electronics Co.*, 673 F. App'x 779 (9th Cir. 2017) (*cert denied* 138 S. Ct. 203) (rejecting shrink-wrap terms in California for purposes of arbitration).

Mr. Moore is a member of the state bar of Minnesota. He is also a member of the bar of the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. Mr. Moore received his juris doctorate from Hamline University School of Law in 2013, and his bachelor's degree from the University of North Dakota in 2007.